

21st Century Community Learning Centers Program

21st CCLC Reviewer Scoring Report – Cohort 10



Applicant Name:	City Life Center
Proposal Ranking:	33
Average Score	109.1 / 125

Proposal Strengths:

- Application was well organized, easy to follow, and clear in the descriptions.
- Very well organized and easy to read. Evaluation and budget are strong.

Proposal Weaknesses:

- There was a lack of detail in several of the key areas. While the application would state that there was a plan for addressing some areas, it didn't provide any details to the details of those plans.
- Quite a bit of missing key information.
- The primary areas where points were lost were areas where assumptions were made and details were missing. Example - volunteers will be recruited using previous practices. As a reviewer, I do not know what those are. Do not assume the reviewer knows. Make sure to include details

Top Areas Where Points Were Lost:

- Program Design
- Professional Development
- Project Abstract
- Qualifications
- Need for Project
- Partnerships
- Program Implementation
- Evaluation
- Safety and Transportation